

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Mona Examination Progress Tracker

F03 (Clean)

Deadline: 4

Application Reference: EN010137

Document Reference: S_PD_4 F03

Document Number: MOCNS-J3303-JVW-10219

4 November 2024

F03



Image of an offshore wind farm

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Document status

Version	Purpose of document	Authored by	Reviewed by	Approved by	Review date
F01	Submission as Procedural Deadline 25 June 2024	RPS	Mona Offshore Wind Ltd	Mona Offshore Wind Ltd	25 June 2024
F02	Submission at Deadline 2	RPS/ Mona Offshore Wind Ltd	Mona Offshore Wind Ltd	Mona Offshore Wind Ltd	27 August 2024
F03	Submission at Deadline 4	RPS/ Mona Offshore Wind Ltd	Mona Offshore Wind Ltd	Mona Offshore Wind Ltd	4 November 2024

Prepared by:

RPS

Prepared for:

Mona Offshore Wind Ltd.

1 EXAMINATION PROGRESS TRACKER

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1.1 On 7 June 2024, the Examining Authority (ExA) published the Rule 6 Letter.

1.1.1.2 This Examination Progress Tracker has been prepared to support an application by Mona Offshore Wind Ltd (the ‘Applicant’) for development consent to construct, operate and decommission the proposed Mona Offshore Wind Farm (the Project) located off the coast of North Wales. The Project comprises up to 96 wind turbine generators and associated onshore and offshore infrastructure. The Project will be located within Welsh waters and within the Counties of Denbighshire and Conwy.

1.2 Purpose of this Document

1.2.1.1 This document has been produced in response to the Examining Authority’s Rule 6 letter requesting a Pre-examination Progress Tracker, in the form of a table, reporting on what it considers are the principal, and other notable issues in the Examination. As the project is now in the Examination phase, at Deadline 2 the document was renamed Examination Progress Tracker with the same document number and an updated revision number (S_PD_4 F02). This is revision 3 of the Examination Progress Tracker (S_PD_4 F03).

1.2.1.2 The Applicant anticipates a further revision of the tracker will be provided at Deadline 7.

1.2.1.3 Table 1.1, sets out the principal issues identified in the Examining Authority’s Rule 6 letter, logs the Interested Parties that have raised them through their relevant representations, written submissions, written representations and Local Impact Reports, summarises the Interested Parties’ and the Applicant’s positions and provides any updates on those positions.

1.2.1.4 For ease of reference the table has used a “traffic-lighting” system to guide the reader to the likelihood of resolving the issues as follows:

- Green: The Interested Party/Parties’ and the Applicant’s positions are aligned.
- Amber: The Interested Party/Parties and the Applicant are making progress to align their positions but further work is required to achieve that.
- Red: The Interested Party/Parties and the Applicant are unable to align their positions.
- Beige: The Interested Party/Parties and the Applicant are unable to align their positions, however the matter is not considered to be material.
- Purple: The Applicant does not consider these are matters on which individual agreement will be reached but are expected to be considered in detail during the examination

1.3 Examination Progress Tracker

Table 1.1: Examination Progress Tracker

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
1 - Air Quality		
Conwy County Borough Council (CCBC)	CCBC raised the need for mitigation measures for dust through their relevant representation (RR-009).	Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-009.7). No further comments have been made by CCBC regarding dust or wider air quality issues in the Local Impact Report (REP1-049). CCBC have confirmed that the comments on mitigation for measures have been appropriately addressed (REP3-078, Q1.1.5). All matters regarding air quality have now been agreed and this is captured in the Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) with CCBC (REP3-061, paragraph CCBC.AQ.1).
Natural Resources Wales (NRW)	NRW raised queries regarding the assessment of impacts to ecological receptors as a result of traffic related emissions through their relevant representation (RR-011).	Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008). NRW have confirmed through their written representation (REP1-056) that this response has addressed their concerns, therefore the Applicant believes this issue has now been resolved. This is captured in the SoCG between the Applicant and NRW (REP1-026, paragraphs NRW.AQ.1 to NRW.AQ.8).
Margaret Hussey Michael and Sally Leach Mr & Mrs J T Owen	Concerns around dust and fumes have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations and written representations.	Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.
2 – Construction		
Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Limited	Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Limited have raised concerns about the overlap of the Mona Offshore Wind Farm Project with the Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm near Bodelwyddan through	

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>their relevant representation (RR-003) and written representation (REP1-061).</p> <p>Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Limited have raised concerns offshore interfaces through their relevant representation (RR-003) and written representation (REP1-061).</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-003.1) and written representations (REP2-078).</p> <p>Detailed discussions regarding adequate protection of Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Limited's proposed assets are ongoing. The Applicant believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process.</p>
Network Rail Infrastructure Limited	Network Rail raised concerns regarding the construction of the railway crossing through their relevant representation (RR-060, RR-077) and written representation (REP1-057).	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-060.1 and RR-077.1) and written representations (REP2-078).</p> <p>The Applicant is negotiating bespoke Protective Provisions with Network Rail and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process. See the Land Rights Tracker (S_PD_5 F06) for further information.</p>
SP Energy Networks	SP Energy Networks raised queries on utilities crossings through their written submission (PDA-049) and written representation (REP1-077).	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to the written submission (REP1-011, paragraph PDA-049.1 to PDA-049.9) and written representation (REP2-078).</p> <p>The Applicant has agreed bespoke Protective Provisions with SP Energy Networks which have been added to the Draft DCO (C1 F05) and it is expected that SP Energy Networks will withdraw their representations. The Applicant considers this matter closed. See the Land Rights Tracker (S_PD_5 F04) for further information.</p>
CCBC	Through their relevant representation (RR-009) and the Local Impact Report, CCBC have raised concerns regarding working hours.	<p>Red: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-009.8) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092).</p> <p>CCBC has concerns regarding the working hours, however the Applicant maintains the position that the proposed working hours are appropriate.</p>
	Through the Local Impact Report, CCBC have raised concerns around cable crossing methodologies.	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061) and the parties are in discussion regarding the crossing of</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>watercourses, with topic specific meetings taking place on 9 October 2024. The Applicant believes these issues are capable of resolution through the SoCG process.</p> <p>CCBC have also raised concerns regarding the feasibility of the crossing of Gwrych Castle Wood, as captured in the SoCG (REP3-061, CCBC.ARB.12). The Applicant has provided further detail in response to the Examining Authority's written questions (REP3-061, Q1.18.24). The Applicant believes this issue is capable of resolution through the SoCG process.</p>
Denbighshire County Council (DCC)	Through the Local Impact Report, DCC have raised concerns regarding working hours.	<p>Red: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092).</p> <p>DCC has concerns regarding the working hours, however the Applicant maintains the position that the proposed working hours are appropriate.</p>
	Through the Local Impact Report, DCC have raised concerns around cable crossing methodologies.	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with DCC (REP3-060) and the parties are in discussion regarding the crossing of watercourses, with topic specific meetings taking place on 9 October 2024. The Applicant believes these issues are capable of resolution through the SoCG process.</p>
<p>Health and Safety Executive DMPC Tan-y-Mynydd Trout Fishery Philip Banfield Jennings Building & Civil Engineering Limited Mr AEM Owen & A Owen Cyf Mr EW Roberts</p>	Concerns around cable crossings (onshore and offshore), construction working hours working hours and the Code of Construction Practice and associated plans have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations, written submissions and written representations.	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
<p>Mr G & Mrs M Williams Mr H & Mrs C Lloyd Mr RW Roberts Michael and Sally Leach Mr & Mrs J T Owen The Executors of the Late Sir David Watkin Williams-Wynn. Bt. Iwan Roberts Elizabeth Wade G W Parry Mrs H M Parry Robert Parry Stuart Neil Rebecca Face Margaret Hussey Martyn Hussey The Revd Canon Brian Mayne National Farmers Union Virgin Media O2 Cefn Meiriadog Community Council Davis Meade Property Consultants Micheal and Sally Leach Microsoft Mr and Mrs T Owen Lloyd Evans & Sons</p>		

3 – Civil and military aviation and defence interests

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
NATS (En Route) plc	The effects on primary surveillance radar (PSR) at Lowther Hill, St Annes, and Great Dun Fell, and appropriate mitigation.	<p>Amber: Engagement between NATS and the Applicant commenced in 2021. The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-005.1) and a SoCG between the parties is being progressed, most recently updated at Deadline 3 (REP3-029). At Deadline 3, all matters in the SoCG were agreed apart from mitigation.</p> <p>The Applicant has received details of preferred mitigation solutions from NATS to reduce the residual impact such that there is no longer a significant effect. The parties are engaging on a commercial agreement and DCO requirement to secure the mitigation. The Applicant has proposed a requirement for inclusion in the draft DCO in the updated SoCG at Deadline 3 (REP3-029) for consideration by NATS and is awaiting feedback on the requirement wording.</p> <p>The parties will provide further updates on progress through subsequent SoCG and Commercial Side Agreements Tracker (REP1-036) submissions. The Applicant believes the issue is capable of resolution.</p>
Blackpool Airport	The mitigation for potential for significant effects on Instrument Flight Procedures (IFPs) at Blackpool Airport through an increase in the Minimum Sector Altitude (MSA).	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-058.1). The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with Blackpool Airport, most recently updated at Deadline 3 (REP3-030).</p> <p>The mitigation identified to reduce the residual impact such that there is no longer a significant effect is an increase to the current MSA. The Applicant is working with Blackpool Airport to ensure that this mitigation is in place so that the Mona Offshore Wind Project will not have a significant effect on Blackpool Airport IFPs.</p> <p>The CAA has requested that Blackpool Airport undertake a 5-year review of safeguarding requirements which includes consideration of the effects of the Mona Offshore Wind Project, which is expected to be completed by the end of November 2024, after which it will be issued to the CAA for their approval. Blackpool Airport have confirmed that it is likely that CAA</p>

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>approval will not be provided until after the end of the Examination.</p> <p>The parties will provide further updates through subsequent SoCG submissions. The Applicant believes the issue is capable of resolution.</p>
<p>Defence Infrastructure Organisation</p>	<p>Potential effects on the operation and capability of the PSR operated by BAE Systems at Warton Aerodrome.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-013.4) and written representations (REP2-078, paragraph REP1-054.2). The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with the Defence Infrastructure Organisation (DIO), most recently updated at Deadline 3 (REP3-033). The Applicant has provided an update on engagement with DIO and BAE Systems Warton in the Applicant's summary of oral submissions at Issue Specific Hearing 4 (S_D4_).</p> <p>The Applicant accepts the potential for significant effects on the PSR at Warton Aerodrome operated by BAE Systems. The Applicant has engaged directly with BAE Systems Warton on the nature of the mitigation required to reduce the residual impact such that there is a no longer a significant effect.</p> <p>BAE Systems Warton Aerodrome are in the process of implementing a new PSR which is expected to be online by the end of 2024. BAE Systems have provided indicative details of the required mitigation and only anticipate being in a position to provide further information when their new PSR is fully commissioned.</p> <p>BAE Systems Warton and the DIO are considering whether they are able to release specific technical information request by the Applicant in the interim, which would provide the Applicant with assurance that a mitigation solution is feasible from a technical standpoint.</p> <p>Once details are available, the mitigation will be agreed with BAE Systems and provided to the DIO, however it likely that this will not be achievable before the end of the Examination.</p> <p>The Applicant has proposed a requirement for inclusion in the draft DCO in the updated SoCG at Deadline 3 (REP3-033) for consideration by the Defence Infrastructure Organisation.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>The Applicant will continue to engage with the DIO and Warton Aerodrome and will provide updates through subsequent SoCG submissions. The Applicant believes the issue is capable of resolution.</p>
<p>Territorial Sea Committee (Department of Infrastructure, Isle of Man Government)</p>	<p>Potential effects on safety and operations of civil aviation at Ronaldsway Isle of Man Airport and appropriate mitigation.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-018.13) and is progressing a SoCG with the Territorial Sea Committee, most recently updated at Deadline 3 (REP3-025).</p> <p>The Applicant met with Ronaldsway Airport on 10 and 24 October 2024 to discuss the results of their surveillance strategy, an initial report on which was issued to the Applicant on 11 October 2024.</p> <p>A progress update on this matter has been provided at Deadline 4 in section 7b of the Hearing Summary (ISH4): Offshore Matters (S_D4_4).</p> <p>Further engagement is planned between the Applicant, the Territorial Sea Committee and Ronaldsway Airport on this matter. The parties will provide updates through subsequent SoCG submissions.</p> <p>The Applicant believes the issue is capable of resolution.</p>
<p>Eni UK</p>	<p>Potential for overlap or interference in logistics activities, including aviation.</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-019.3 and RR-019.5) and is progressing a SoCG with Eni UK, most recently updated at Deadline 3 (REP3-032).</p> <p>Aviation and Radar was not included as a standalone topic within the initial SoCG submitted, noting that there has been agreement between parties to meet regularly to discuss their respective activity programmes in order to minimise disruption to either party's operations and to maximise co-existence.</p> <p>The Applicant therefore considers that Eni UK do not have any outstanding concerns relating to this issue.</p>
<p>Burbo Extension Limited Walney Extension Limited</p>	<p>Other operational offshore wind farms in the Irish Sea (Burbo Bank Extension and Walney Extension) have implemented mitigation for potential impacts on the Warton Airfield Primary</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations from Burbo Extension Limited and Walney Extension Ltd (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-007.7 and RR-008.7 respectively). The</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>Surveillance Radar and request assurance that the Mona Offshore Wind Project will not adversely affect or increase the cost of such mitigation (RR-007, RR-008, REP1-063).</p>	<p>Orsted IPs made comments on the Applicant's response to their relevant representations at Deadline 2 (REP2-104), which the Applicant has subsequently responded to at Deadline 3 (paragraphs REP2-104.8 to REP2-104.20 of REP3-042).</p> <p>The Applicant has been notified by Defence Infrastructure Organisation stating their objection to the Mona Offshore Wind Project on the basis of the potential for significant effects on the PSR at BAE Systems Warton Aerodrome. The Applicant accepts the potential for significant effects and is engaging with the Defence Infrastructure Organisation and BAE Systems on the mitigation required to reduce the residual impact such that there is no longer a significant effect, as outlined above and detailed in the SoCG with the Defence Infrastructure Organisation, most recently updated at Deadline 3 (REP3-033).</p> <p>The Applicant notes that the Orsted IPs were invited to comment on behalf of Burbo Extension Limited and Walney Extension Limited regarding this matter during ISH4 but confirmed they did not have anything further to add.</p> <p>Agreement on this matter is subject to agreement between the Applicant and the Defence Infrastructure Organisation on principle issue "Potential effects on the operation and capability of the PSR operated by BAE Systems at Warton Aerodrome" above</p>
<p>Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Limited Moor Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Limited (MVOWFL)</p>	<p>Other planned offshore wind farms in the Irish Sea (Morecambe and Moor Vannin) have highlighted potential for cumulative effects in relation to aviation and radar.</p> <p>MVOWFL anticipate that there may be a requirement for mitigation in relation to potential impacts on PSR and request assurance that Mona Offshore Wind Project has appropriately assessed potential impacts on PSR alone and cumulatively with other projects.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations from Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Limited and Moor Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Limited (PDA-008: paragraph RR-046.1 and RR-045.8 respectively).</p> <p>In their responses to ExQ1 (REP3-101), MVOWFL confirmed that they are satisfied with the assessment of cumulative effects in relation to aviation and radar presented in Volume 4, Chapter 1: Aviation and radar (APP-075) and stated their desire to discuss strategic mitigation with other East Irish Sea developers and Ronaldsway Airport. The Applicant has responded to MVOWFL (S_D4_41), noting that Ronaldsway Airport will commission an aviation surveillance strategy to address the Airport's safeguarding requirements in response to onshore and</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>offshore renewable energy project proposals in the eastern and western Irish Sea. It is expected that the Airport will lead on any strategic requirements associated with implementation of the aviation surveillance strategy.</p> <p>The Applicant believes this matter should be considered resolved once matters above relating to PSR effects and mitigation are agreed with the respective PSR operators.</p>
4 – Climate change and greenhouse gas emissions		
<p>Stena Line Ltd Dr Jonathan F Dean</p>	<p>Concerns around the impact of the project on overall greenhouse gas emissions and climate change have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations and written representations.</p>	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>
5 – Commercial fisheries		
<p>Territorial Sea Committee (Department of Infrastructure, Isle of Man Government)</p>	<p>The Territorial Sea Committee requested clarification on how cumulative impacts have been assessed with respect to Isle of Man fishers and whether monitoring is required in their relevant representation (RR-018) and response to ISH2 hearing action point 18 (REP1-012).</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-018.3, and RR-018.5 to 11), a related Hearing Action Point (REP1-012, paragraph ISH2_HAP_28) and acknowledges the Territorial Sea Committee’s response to ISH2_HAP_28 (REP1-024).</p> <p>The Applicant met with Isle of Man commercial fisheries stakeholders in July and September to discuss and agree updates to several of the measures set out in the Outline Fisheries Liaison and Co-existence Plan (REP3-016).</p> <p>The Applicant considers the issue has been resolved, as reflected in the SoCG with the Territorial Sea Committee covering commercial fisheries matters as updated at Deadline 3 (Table 1.9 of REP3-025).</p>
<p>Bodorgan Marine Limited</p>	<p>Bodorgan Marine Limited consider that the project’s approach to co-existence does not align with the Welsh National Marine Plan (WNMP), specifically with regard to opportunities for co-location with mussel aquaculture, as set out in their relevant representation (RR-006) and written representation at Deadline 1 (REP1-062).</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-006.1) and written representations (REP2-078, paragraph REP1-062.1).</p> <p>As outlined in the Applicant’s response to written representations, the Applicant would welcome engagement with the North Wales aquaculture industry. The Applicant has</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>requested a meeting with Bodorgan Marine Limited to hear their views on the Mona Offshore Wind Project. The Applicant notes Bodorgan Marine Limited's oral submissions at ISH4 and is anticipating that Bodorgan Marine Limited will submit a summary of their oral submissions at Deadline 4. The Applicant will respond accordingly.</p> <p>The Applicant believes the issue is capable of resolution.</p>
<p>ANIFPO Michael Rowlings Northern Ireland Fish Producers' Organisation Northern Ireland Fishermen's Federation Scottish Fisherman's Federation Scottish Whitefish Producers Association West Coast Sea Products Ltd</p>	<p>Interaction between commercial fishing activities and the Mona Offshore Wind Project, and the requirement for ongoing engagement to ensure fisheries liaison and co-existence measures are appropriate was highlighted by commercial fisheries stakeholders in their relevant representations (RR-001, RR-044, RR-062, RR-063, RR-072, RR-073 and RR-089).</p> <p>Outstanding points of discussion regarding measures contained in the Outline Fisheries Liaison and Co-existence Plan (APP-199) relate to cable burial depth and the presence of cables and cable protection within the scallop mitigation zone (SMZ) were raised in written representations at Deadline 1 (REP1-075, REP1-076 and REP1-081).</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-001.1, RR-044.1, RR-062.1, RR-063.1, RR-072.1, RR-073.1 and RR-089.1) and written representations submitted by Scottish Fisherman's Federation, Scottish Whitefish Producers Association and West Coast Sea Products Ltd (REP2-078, paragraphs REP1-075.4 to 12, REP1-076.4 to 12 and REP1-081.6 to 10).</p> <p>The Applicant met with commercial fisheries stakeholders in July to capture their views on the measures set out in the Outline Fisheries Liaison and Co-existence Plan (APP-199). In September, the Applicant and stakeholders met to discuss the Applicant's proposed updates to measures to address concerns raised by stakeholders in July. These updates were incorporated into the Outline Fisheries Liaison and Co-existence Plan as updated at Deadline 3 (REP3-016). Further engagement with fishing organisations is planned for November.</p> <p>The Applicant has committed to continued engagement with fishing organisations to agree details of measures in the final FLCP post-consent.</p> <p>The Applicant believes the issue is capable of resolution.</p>
<p>Scottish Fisherman's Federation Scottish Whitefish Producers Association West Coast Sea Products Ltd</p>	<p>Concerns regarding the size of SMZ were raised in written representations by Scottish fisheries stakeholders at Deadline 1 (REP1-075, REP1-076 and REP1-081).</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to written representations submitted by Scottish Fisherman's Federation, Scottish Whitefish Producers Association and West Coast Sea Products Ltd (REP2-078, paragraphs REP1-075.10 to 12, REP1-076.10 to 12 and REP1-081.9 to 10).</p> <p>The Applicant has committed to a minimum size of the SMZ in the updated Outline Fisheries Liaison and Co-existence Plan at Deadline 3 (REP3-016). The Applicant has set out its approach</p>

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>to defining the extent of the SMZ based on information provided by stakeholders during pre-application consultation, as detailed in the Applicant's response to ExQ1 Q1.5.1 (REP3-066).</p> <p>The Applicant has responded to the ExA's request for further detail on the effects of changing the size of the SMZ on wind farm capacity density in HAP_ISH4_18.</p> <p>The Applicant believes the issue is capable of resolution.</p>
<p>Scottish Fisherman's Federation Scottish Whitefish Producers Association West Coast Sea Products Ltd.</p>	<p>Disagreement with the minor adverse effect identified for 'loss or restricted access to fishing grounds' for the Scottish west coast scallop vessels receptor group was stated in written representations at Deadline 1 (REP1-075, REP1-076 and REP1-081).</p>	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to written representations (REP2-078, paragraphs REP1-075.3, REP1-076.3 and REP1-081.2 to 5). The Applicant has committed to monitoring of queen scallop in the updated Outline Fisheries Liaison and Co-existence Plan (REP3-016).</p> <p>The Applicant will consider and respond to any further submissions made. Engagement with fishing organisations is ongoing.</p>
<p>Scottish Fisherman's Federation Scottish Whitefish Producers Association West Coast Sea Products Ltd.</p>	<p>In their written representations at Deadline 1 (in their written representations at Deadline 1 (REP1-075, REP1-076 and REP1-081) these IPs stated their disagreement with the minor adverse effect identified for the cumulative effects assessment on commercially important fish and shellfish resources in Volume 2, Chapter 6: Commercial fisheries (APP-058), specifically relating to queen and king scallop.</p> <p>This relates to the IPs disagreement with the conclusion of minor adverse effects in the CEA for long term habitat loss on this receptor in Volume 2, Chapter 3: Fish and shellfish ecology (APP-055).</p>	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to written representations (REP2-078, paragraphs REP1-075.15 to 18, REP1-076.15 to 17 and REP1-081.13 to 16). The Applicant has committed to monitoring of queen scallop in the updated Outline Fisheries Liaison and Co-existence Plan (REP3-016).</p> <p>The Applicant will consider and respond to any further submissions made. Engagement with fishing organisations is ongoing.</p>
<p>The Traditional & Sustainable Commercial Fishing Association.</p>	<p>Potential effects on fish and shellfish ecology leading to effects on commercial fisheries receptors, specifically concerning the potential for construction activities to disrupt sea bass migration routes were highlighted in the Traditional & Sustainable Commercial Fishing Association's relevant representation (RR-084).</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-006.1).</p> <p>The Applicant notes that the Traditional & Sustainable Commercial Fishing Association did not submit a written representation on this matter and therefore considers that they do not have outstanding concerns on this issue.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
<p>Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Limited</p> <p>Moor Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Limited</p>	<p>Other planned offshore wind farms in the Irish Sea (Morecambe and Moor Vannin) highlighted potential for cumulative effects in relation to commercial fisheries in their relevant representations (RR-046 and RR-045).</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations from both IPs (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-045.6 and RR-046.1). The Applicant notes that this matter was not raised in further submissions by the Ørsted IPs (REP1-072, REP2-104, REP3-104) and therefore considers that Moor Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Limited do not have outstanding concerns on this issue.</p>
<p>6 – Compulsory acquisition and/or temporary possession</p>		
	<p>The need for and the amount of land, rights and powers proposed to be subject to Compulsory Acquisition/ and or Temporary Possession.</p> <p>The requirement for the powers sought and the need to establish a compelling case in the public interest.</p> <p>The position and/ or effects of Statutory Undertakers and Protective Provisions and whether the tests of s127(2), (3), (5) and (6) and s138(4) of the PA2008 are satisfied.</p> <p>The adequacy and security of funding for compensation.</p> <p>Whether the proposals meet the requirements of PA2008 in all other respects.</p>	<p>Amber: Please see the Land Rights Tracker (S_PD_5 F04) for full details of progress on these principal issues.</p>
<p>7 – Draft development consent order</p>		
<p>Network Rail Infrastructure Limited</p>	<p>Through their relevant representation (RR-060, RR-077) and written representation (REP1-057), Network Rail have raised queries on the Draft Development Consent Order and Protected Provisions.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant is negotiating bespoke Protective Provisions with Network Rail and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process. See the Land Rights Tracker (S_PD_5 F06) for further information.</p>
<p>Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Limited</p>	<p>Through their relevant representation (RR-003) and written representation (REP1-061), Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Limited have raised queries on the Draft Development Consent Order and Protected Provisions.</p>	<p>Amber: Detailed discussions regarding adequate protection of Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Limited's assets are ongoing. The Applicant believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
National Grid	Through their relevant representation (RR-057) and written representation (REP1-055), National Grid have raised queries on the Draft Development Consent Order and Protected Provisions.	Amber: The Applicant is negotiating bespoke Protective Provisions with National Grid and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process. See the Land Rights Tracker (S_PD_5 F06) for further information.
Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC)	Through their relevant representation (RR-033) and written representation (REP1-066), JNCC have raised queries on the Draft Development Consent Order and Deemed Marine Licence.	Amber: The Applicant has submitted an SoCG with JNCC (REP1-028) which is continuing to be progressed and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process and updates to the draft DCO and dML. The Applicant responded to JNCC queries at Deadline 2 in the Applicants Appendix to Response to WRs: JNCC (REP2-081) and has responded to JNCCs comments on responses to Written Representations at Deadline 4.
	Inclusion of Unexploded ordnance (UXO) clearance as a licensed activity in the DCO and deemed marine licence	Red: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCC's relevant representation (RR-033.42, RR-033.51 in PDA-008), written representation (REP1-066.86-110 in REP2-080REP2-080) and to the ExA Question Q1.17.9 (Response to Examining Authority's Written Questions REP3-062). The Applicant maintains that it is appropriate to include consideration of UXO clearance within the Application (and for it to be licenced within the dML and NRW marine licence) as this is fundamental to the pre-construction phase and as such the assessment should consider all potential sources of impact, particularly with respect to underwater sound. The Applicant is unable to align their position with the JNCC.
NRW	Through their relevant representation (RR-011) and written representation (REP1-056), NRW have raised queries on the Draft Development Consent Order and Deemed Marine Licence.	Amber: The Applicant is progressing SoCGs with NRW (REP1-024, REP1-025, REP1-026) and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process. The Applicant responded to NRWs queries at Deadline 2 in the Applicants Appendix to Response to WRs: NRW (REP2-080) and has responded to NRWs Deadline 3 submission (S_D4_16).

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
SP Energy Networks	Through their written submission (PDA-049) and written representation (REP1-077), SP Energy Networks have raised queries on the Draft Development Consent Order and Protected Provisions.	Green: The Applicant has agreed bespoke Protective Provisions with SP Energy Networks which have been added to the Draft DCO (C1 F05) and it is expected that SP Energy Networks will withdraw their representations. The Applicant considers this matter closed. See the Land Rights Tracker (S_PD_5 F04) for further information.
CCBC	Through the Local Impact Report (REP1-049), CCBC have raised queries on the Draft Development Consent Order.	Amber: The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061) and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process.
DCC	Through the Local Impact Report (REP1-049), DCC have raised queries on the Draft Development Consent Order.	Amber: The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with DCC (REP3-060) and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process.
DMPC Jennings Building & Civil Engineering Limited Mr AEM Owen & A Owen Cyf Mr EW Roberts Mr G & Mrs M Williams Mr H & Mrs C Lloyd Mr RW Roberts J Bradburne Price & co H L and RJL Evans Mr Roberts Mr and Mrs Davies W L Evans Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Limited Martyn and Margaret Hussey Michael and Sally Leach Microsoft	Concerns around the appropriateness of the Draft Development Consent Order have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations and written representations.	Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP1-011) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
Mr and Mrs J T Owen Orsted IPs		
8 – Flood risk and water environment		
NRW	<p>Compliance with the Water Framework Directive (WFD) – NRW raised queries regarding the baseline fluvial geomorphology data, assessment of impacts on the physical form and natural sediment processes of rivers and the assessment of risk of deterioration in status or the prevention of achieving objectives for the relevant WFD waterbodies through their relevant representation (RR-011).</p> <p>In their written representation (REP1-056), NRW have advised that some of the methods, such as trenching (as part of the cable installation), and use of culverts (as part of the haul roads) may not be appropriate at some watercourse crossing locations. They have repeated the request for a geomorphological field survey to be carried out to ascertain the local conditions at each site and thereby determine the appropriate type of cable or haul road crossing required and demonstrate that there will not be impacts on fluvial geomorphology and WFD waterbodies. NRW have also reiterated the advice to include Rhyl East and Abergele (Pensarn) bathing waters sites for assessment of impact.</p> <p>Surface watercourses and crossings – In their relevant representation (RR-011), NRW requested updates to figures within Volume 7, Annex 2.2: Surface watercourses and NRW Flood Zones to provide clarity.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-011.108 and RR-011.112 to 115). A meeting was held on 9 October 2024 to discuss the geomorphology baseline and watercourse crossing methodologies and subsequently the Applicant has submitted geomorphology technical note (S_D4_7). The Applicant believes this will resolve NRW's remaining comments and will update the SoCG (REP1-026) to reflect this.</p> <p>NRW have confirmed in their Deadline 3 written representation (REP3-090, paragraph 205) that they have no further comments regarding the Kinmel Bay, Rhyl and Rhyl East Bathing Waters. The Applicant will update the SoCG (REP1-026) to reflect this.</p> <p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-011.138). NRW have confirmed through their Written Representation (REP1-056) that this response has addressed their concerns, therefore the Applicant believes this issue has now been resolved.</p> <p>This is captured in the SoCG between the Applicant and NRW (REP1-026, paragraphs NRW.HFR.01 to NRW.HFR.10).</p>
CCBC	The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests further baseline data to be provided in relation to fluvial geomorphology.	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.72 to REP1-049.85).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061) and the parties are in discussion regarding the crossing of</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>watercourses, with a topic specific meeting taking place on 9 October 2024.</p> <p>The Applicant has provided further information on the geomorphology baseline (S_D4_7) and believes this will resolve NRW's remaining comments and will update the SoCG (REP3-061) to reflect this.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns regarding the assessment of impacts to surface waters and the management of water during construction.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.72 to REP1-049.85).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061) and believes this issue is capable of resolution through this process.</p>
DCC	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests further baseline data to be provided in relation to fluvial geomorphology.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.72 to REP1-049.85).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with DCC (REP3-060) and the parties are in discussion regarding the crossing of watercourses, with a topic specific meeting taking place on 9 October 2024.</p> <p>The Applicant has provided further information on the geomorphology baseline (S_D4_7) and believes this will resolve NRW's remaining comments and will update the SoCG (REP3-060) to reflect this.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns regarding the assessment of impacts to surface waters and the management of water during construction.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.72 to REP1-049.85).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a Statement of Common Ground with DCC (REP3-060) and believes this issue is capable of resolution through this process.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
Stuart Neil Rebecca Face Martyn Hussey	Concerns around contamination of watercourses and flooding have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations	Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.

9 – Geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions

NRW	NRW proposed a number of minor amendments to the Outline Code of Construction practice and underpinning outline method statements in their relevant representation (RR-011). This included changes to confirm mitigation measures surrounding private water supplies and the trenchless technique to be used at landfall and to provide further detail on mitigation measures for working near historic mines.	Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-011.125 and RR-011.130). Through their written representation (REP1-056) NRW have agreed with the Applicant's proposals for updating the Outline Code of Construction Practice and associated outline method statements. The relevant updates have been made to the following documents at Deadline 2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outline Code of Construction Practice (J26 F02) • Outline Landfall Construction Method Statement (J26.14 F02) NRW have confirmed through their written representation (REP3-90, section 2.5) that they consider impacts on water quality (both surface and ground water) will be appropriately managed and suitable mitigation measures will be adopted and they have confirmed they have no further comments. The Applicant will capture this agreement in an update to the SoCG with NRW (REP1-026).
CCBC	CCBC raised the need for further assessment of private water supplies through their relevant representation (RR-009).	Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-009.5). The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) states " <i>the baseline provides sufficient information to inform the assessment. It is noted that two private water supplies (PWS 06 and PWS 07) have been identified but not located. The assessment appendix subsequently takes an appropriately conservative approach to</i>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p><i>assessment for these supplies (assumes high risk of impact) and includes mitigation (consultation and survey) to address at a future date” therefore the Applicant considers this issue resolved.</i></p> <p>This agreement is captured in the SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061, CCBC.GHGC.4).</p>
<p>Tan-y-Mynydd Trout Fishery Forsters LLP on behalf of The Executors of the Late Sir David Watkin Williams-Wynn. Bt.</p>	<p>Concerns around impacts to groundwater have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations.</p>	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>
<p>10 – Habitats regulations assessment</p>		
<p>JNCC</p>	<p>Offshore ornithology screening with the HRA Stage 1 screening report</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCCs relevant representation (RR-033.30-36 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.37-45 and REP1-066.73-76-79 in REP2-081). An updated HRA Stage 1 Screening Report (REP2-012) has also been submitted at Deadline 2 to address errata.</p> <p>The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (REP3-059) at Deadline 3, which presents the information requested by the JNCC regarding the HRA screening. The Applicant met with JNCC on 04 September and received subsequent feedback on 10 September on the scope and content of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (REP3-059). Further meetings with the JNCC took place on 14 October and 29 October (with NRW also in attendance at the latter meeting). The JNCC also provided written feedback to the Applicant on its Deadline 3 submissions for offshore ornithology on 24 October. In light of this, the Applicant has submitted an update to the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (S_D3_19 F02) at Deadline 4. In addition, the Applicant has submitted an Offshore Ornithology Apportioning Clarification Note (S_D4_10) at Deadline 4, describing the Applicant’s approach to non-breeding season apportioning.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>HRA Stage 1 ISAA Part Three: Special Protection Areas and Ramsar sites Assessments</p>	<p>The Applicant has submitted an SoCG with JNCC (REP1-028) which is continuing to be progressed and believes that the Applicant’s ornithological submissions at Deadline 4 will allow this matter to be resolved.</p> <p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCCs relevant representation (RR-033.37-40 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.70-72 and REP1-066.77 in REP2-081). An updated HRA Stage 2 Information to Support an Appropriate assessment (ISAA) Part Three: Special Protection Areas (SPAs) and Ramsar Sites Assessments (REP2-010) was submitted at Deadline 2 to address errata.</p> <p>The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (REP3-059) at Deadline 3 which presents the information requested by the JNCC regarding the ISAA. The Applicant met with JNCC on 04 September and received subsequent feedback on 10 September on the scope and content of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (REP3-059). Further meetings between the Applicant and the JNCC took place on 14 October, and the Applicant, the JNCC and NRW on 29 October, which included discussion of outstanding ornithological matters. The JNCC provided written feedback on 24 October 2024 and in light of this, the Applicant has submitted a revised version of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (S_D3_19 F02) at Deadline 4. The Applicant notes that JNCC has agreed that there will be no adverse effect on integrity for SPAs designated for offshore ornithology features for any impacts for the Mona Offshore Wind Project alone (REP1-028).</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted an SoCG with JNCC (REP1-028) which is continuing to be progressed and believes that the revised Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (S_D3_19 F02) submitted at Deadline 4 will allow this matter to be resolved with respect to the in-combination assessment.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>Conclusions for the marine mammal features of the North Anglesey Marine SAC in the HRA Stage 2 ISAA Part Two: Special Areas of Conservation (SACs) Assessments</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCCs relevant representation (RR-033.48 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.134 and REP1-066.135 in REP2-081).</p> <p>In JNCCs relevant representation RR-033.48 and written representation REP1-066.134, JNCC have confirmed that they agreed with the conclusion of no LSE to this site from piling and UXO clearance. Therefore, the Applicant considered this matter to be resolved.</p>
<p>RSPB Cymru</p>	<p>Offshore ornithology HRA and EIA methodology</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in RSPB Cymru's relevant representation (RR-071.3-12 in PDA-008).</p> <p>The Applicant has contacted the RSPB Cymru through the examination process and has responded to RSPB Deadline 3 submission (REP3-105) at Deadline 4.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with the RSPB Cymru (REP2-088) and believes the issue is capable of resolution.</p>
<p>NRW</p>	<p>Offshore ornithology screening with the HRA Stage 1 screening report</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.13, RR-011.18-19 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.70-105 in REP2-080). An updated HRA Stage 1 Screening Report (REP2-012) has also been submitted at Deadline 2 to address errata.</p> <p>The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (REP3-059) at Deadline 3 which presents the information requested by NRW regarding the HRA screening. The Applicant met with NRW on 09 September and received subsequent feedback on 18 September on the scope and content of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (REP3-059). A further meeting between the Applicant, JNCC and NRW to discuss outstanding ornithological matters also took place on 29 October. In light of the feedback received, the Applicant has submitted a revised version of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>Information in line with SNCB Advice (S_D3_19 F02) at Deadline 4.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes that the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (REP3-059) (S_D3_19 F02) at Deadline 4 will allow this issue to be closed.</p>
	<p>HRA Stage 1 ISAA Part Three: Special Protection Areas and Ramsar sites Assessments</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.20-22 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.106-113 in REP2-080/REP2-080). An updated HRA Stage 2 Information to Support an Appropriate assessment (ISAA) Part Three: Special Protection Areas (SPAs) and Ramsar Sites Assessments (REP2-010) has also been submitted at Deadline 2 to address errata.</p> <p>The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (REP3-059) at Deadline 3 which presents the information requested by NRW regarding the ISAA. The Applicant met with NRW on 09 September and received subsequent feedback on 18 September on the scope and content of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (REP3-059). A further meeting between the Applicant, JNCC and NRW to discuss outstanding ornithological matters also took place on 29 October. In light of the feedback received, the Applicant has submitted a revised version of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (S_D3_19 F02) and also an Offshore Ornithology Apportioning Clarification Note (S_D4_10) at Deadline 4. The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes that its ornithological submissions at Deadline 4 will allow this matter to be resolved.</p>
	<p>Offshore ornithology in-combination assessment</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.8 and RR-011.9 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.59-69 in REP2-080/REP2-080).</p> <p>The Applicant has undertaken a 'gap-filling' exercise in accordance with SNCBs advice. The Applicant held a meeting</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>with the statutory nature conservation bodies on its approach and results to gap-filling historical projects for the Mona Offshore Wind Project on 29 August 2024 and received positive feedback. The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Cumulative Effects Assessment and In-combination Gap-filling Historical Projects Technical Note (REP3-044) at Deadline 3. Following further engagement with NRW on 29 October, a revised version of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (S_D3_19 F02) has been submitted at Deadline 4 to include an in-combination assessment, which also takes into consideration the gap-filled projects and gives greater clarity to the Applicant's assessment approach (e.g. to apportioning).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes that the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (S_D3_19 F02) submitted at Deadline 4 will allow this matter to be resolved.</p>
	<p>In response to ExQ 1.10.3 (REP3-093), NRW raised they consider that there may be a potential for an in-combination contribution to LSE on marine mammals for vessel collision</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in response to ExQ 1.10.3 (REP3-093) in the Applicant's response to NRW's response to ExQ Q1.10.3 (S_D4_33). The Applicant notes that the Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) between Mona Offshore Wind Project and NRW (A) submitted at Deadline 1 (Initial SOCG between Mona and NRW(A) - Offshore (REP1-025)) confirms that NRW (A) are in agreement with the screening of Likely Significant Effects (LSE) on European sites for marine mammals (see NRW.HRA.22, NRA.HRA.23, NRW.HRA.26). Furthermore, NRW agreed with the overall conclusions of the of the ISAA in combination with other plans and projects notwithstanding any written representations raised that are currently ongoing points of discussion (NRW.HRA.29 in REP1-025).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes the issue is capable of resolution.</p>

11 – Historic environment

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
<p>Royal Commission Ancient and Historical Monuments of Wales (RCAHMW) Cadw</p>	<p>RCAHMW raised queries regarding the location of the Outline Offshore Written Scheme of Investigation and Protocol for Archaeological Discoveries in their relevant representation (RR-070). Through their Written Representation (REP1-073), RCAHMW have confirmed they have now reviewed the documents in question and have provided suggested updates.</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-070.1) and written representations (REP2-078, paragraphs REP1-073.2 and 3). The Outline Offshore Written Scheme of Investigation and Protocol for Archaeological Discoveries (REP2-032) has been updated and resubmitted at Deadline 2 in accordance with RCAHMW's written representation comments. RCAHMW confirmed by email on 04 November 2024 that the updates to the Outline Offshore Written Scheme of Investigation and Protocol for Archaeological Discoveries (REP2-032) align with their suggested updates.</p>
<p>Heneb: Gwynedd Archaeology and Heneb: Clwyd-Powys Archaeology</p>	<p>Through their written representation (appended to the Local Impact Report (REP1-049)), Heneb have advised of updates required to Outline Onshore Written Scheme of Investigation, Outline Code of Construction Practice and Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.159 to REP1-049.164). The Applicant has completed the programme of archaeological evaluation and is updating the Outline Written Scheme of Investigation accordingly based on these results and will submit the updated Outline Written Scheme of Investigation into the examination at an appropriate deadline The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with Heneb: Gwynedd Archaeology and Heneb: Clwyd-Powys Archaeology (REP1-035) and believes this matter will be resolved through this process.</p>
<p>Cadw</p>	<p>Through their written representation (REP1-051), Cadw have highlighted the need for further archaeological evaluation to be completed in order for a programme of post-consent archeological work to be agreed.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to written representations (REP2-079, REP1-052.23 to REP1-052.33). The Applicant has completed the programme of archaeological evaluation and is updating the Outline Written Scheme of Investigation accordingly based on these results and will submit the updated Outline Written Scheme of Investigation into the examination at an appropriate deadline The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with Cadw (REP1-034) and believes this matter will be resolved through this process.</p>
<p>CCBC</p>	<p>CCBC raised the need for further assessment of impacts on Kinmel Park Registered Historic Park and Garden through their relevant representation (RR-009).</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-009.10).</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>No further comments have been made by CCBC regarding Kinmel Park Registered Historic Park and Gardens in the Local Impact Report and they have confirmed in section 3.9.3 of the Local Impact Report that “<i>there are no significant concerns arising in relation to heritage and archaeology</i>” (REP1-049). Therefore, the Applicant considers this matter closed.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061) will capture this agreement through this process.</p>
DCC	<p>Within the Local Impact Report (REP1-049), DCC have raised heritage as a key area of focus.</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to all issues raised in the Local Impact Report (REP2-092).</p> <p>The Local Impact Report states in section 3.9.3 that “<i>there are no significant concerns arising in relation to heritage and archaeology</i>” (REP1-049). Therefore, the Applicant considers this matter closed.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with DCC (REP3-060) will capture this agreement through this process.</p>
12 – Land use		
CCBC	<p>Within the Local Impact Report (REP1-049) CCBC have requested further information to be provided in the Outline Public Rights of Way Management Strategy (APP-229).</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraph REP1-049.68).</p> <p>CCBC have agreed that the measures outlined within the Outline Public Rights of Way Management Strategy (REP2-070) provide an appropriate level of detail.</p> <p>This is captured in the SoCG between the Applicant and CCBC (REP3-061, paragraph CCBC.TT.15).</p>
DCC	<p>Within the Local Impact Report (REP1-049) DCC have requested further information to be provided in the Outline Public Rights of Way Management Strategy (APP-229).</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraph REP1-049.68).</p> <p>DCC have agreed that the measures outlined within the Outline Public Rights of Way Management Strategy (REP2-070) provide an appropriate level of detail.</p> <p>This is captured in the SoCG between the Applicant and DCC (REP3-060, paragraph DCC.TT.15).</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
Welsh Government	Welsh Government, through their written representation (REP1-050), have requested clarification regarding the Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) field survey results.	Amber: The Applicant has responded to written representations (REP2-079, paragraphs REP1-052.19 and REP1-052.20). A technical meeting was held on 2 October 2024 to discuss the ACL survey results. The Applicant has agreed to update the Soil Survey Data Technical Report (APP-168) to provide the required clarifications. The Welsh Government has agreed to review and provide an update to its Written Representation at the appropriate deadline. The Applicant believes this matter will be resolved through this process.
	Welsh Government, through their written representation (REP1-050), have raised concerns over the assessment methodology used in the Land Use and Recreation chapter to assess impacts to agricultural land.	Amber: The Applicant has responded to written representations (REP2-079, paragraphs REP1-052.19 and REP1-052.20). The Applicant is committed to engaging with Welsh Government throughout the examination.
	Welsh Government, through their written representation (REP1-050), have requested updates to the Outline Soil Management Plan.	

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
<p>Mr & Mrs J T Owen Michael and Sally Leach Martyn Hussey Margaret Hussey DMPC Jennings Building & Civil Engineering Limited Mr AEM Owen & A Owen Cyf Mr EW Roberts Mr G & Mrs M Williams Iwan Roberts Mr H & Mrs C Lloyd Mr RW Roberts The Executors of the Late Sir David Watkin Williams-Wynn. Bt. The Revd Canon Brian Mayne National Farmers Union Cefn Meiriadog Community Council Davis Meade Property Consultants Harriet Mary Parry Robert Wynne Parry Griffiths Wynne Parry Elizabeth Wynne Wade Lloyd Evans & Sons</p>	<p>Concerns regarding impacts of loss of Best and Most Versatile Land, impacts to current agricultural businesses, the proposed soil management and mitigation measures to be applied and changes to agricultural communities have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations and written representations.</p>	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>
<p>13 – Landscape and visual and good design</p>		
<p>NRW</p>	<p>NRW requested cumulative visualisations showing both the proposed substation and other Tier 1 developments (e.g. Awel y Môr substation) through their relevant representation (RR-011).</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-011.104) and written representations (REP2-080 paragraphs REP1-056.227 and REP1-056.231).</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>This request has been repeated in NRW's written representation (REP1-056).</p>	<p>The Applicant has provided cumulative visualisations at Deadline 3 (REP3-047, REP3-048, AS-027) and paper copies were provided to NRW on Monday 14th October. The Applicant believes this will resolve NRW's remaining comments and will update the SoCG (REP1-026) to reflect this.</p>
	<p>NRW raised queries regarding the onshore photomontages through their written representation (REP1-056).</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to written representations (REP2-080, paragraph REP1-056.230). The Applicant provided cumulative visualisations of the onshore substation at Deadline 3. Following a meeting with NRW on 8 October, the visualisations were updated to correct pixelation issues and were resubmitted (REP3-047, REP3-048 and (S_D3_16.3). The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with NRW (REP1-027) and believes this issue is capable of resolution through this process.</p>
<p>CCBC</p>	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns regarding the methodology used to assess landscape and visual resources impacts.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31). The LVIA methodology was discussed in a meeting with the CCBC landscape consultant on Friday 11th October. It was agreed that split categories can be used in the assessment. Discussions are continuing with CCBC regarding moderate adverse effects. The Applicant is progressing a Statement of Common Ground with CCBC (REP3-061) and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns regarding the assessment of cumulative effects and proposed mitigation.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31). The Applicant is progressing a Statement of Common Ground with CCBC (REP3-061) and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests clarification on if construction will take place during hours of darkness and if this has been assessed.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31).</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests further commitments on timescale for management of mitigation post construction.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31).</p> <p>The Applicant will be providing an update to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan at Deadline 5 to clarify the position on long-term monitoring and management of ecological mitigation and biodiversity enhancement measures.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a Statement of Common Ground with CCBC (REP3-061) and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns regarding the survey data collected as part of the Arboriculture Impact Assessment and the mitigations proposed.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31).</p> <p>The Applicant has provided a Tree Survey Clarification Note at Deadline 3 (REP3-049). The Applicant believes this will resolve CCBC's remaining comments and will update the SoCG (REP3-061) to reflect this.</p>
DCC	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns regarding the methodology used to assess landscape and visual resources impacts.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31).</p> <p>The LVIA methodology was discussed in a meeting with the DCC landscape consultant on Friday 11th October. It was agreed that split categories can be used in the assessment. Discussions are continuing with DCC regarding moderate adverse effects. The Applicant is progressing a Statement of Common Ground with DCC (REP3-060) and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests an assessment of impacts to Denbighshire Memorial Park and Crematorium.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31).</p> <p>The Applicant has provided additional annotated photography from the Denbighshire Memorial Park and Crematorium at Deadline 4 (S_D4_13). The Applicant believes this will resolve DCC's remaining comments and will update the SoCG (REP3-060) to reflect this.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns regarding the assessment of cumulative effects and proposed mitigation.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31). During a meeting on Friday 11th October with the DCC landscape consultant it was demonstrated that the Mona Onshore Substation would be barely visible from the representative viewpoint for the North Wales' Pilgrims Way (VP6) and that the potential for cumulative effects on the users of the North Wales' Pilgrims Way would be limited. The Applicant believes this will resolve DCC's concern and the SoCG with DCC (REP3-060) will be updated to reflect this.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests clarification on if construction will take place during hours of darkness and if this has been assessed.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to Local Impact Report (paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31). The Applicant has provided a lighting clarification note at Deadline 4 (S_D4_12). The Applicant believes this will resolve DCC's remaining comments and will update the SoCG (REP3-060) to reflect this.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests further commitments on timescale for management of mitigation post construction.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31). The Applicant will be providing an update to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan at Deadline 5 to clarify the position on long-term monitoring and management of ecological mitigation and biodiversity enhancement measures. The Applicant is progressing a Statement of Common Ground with DCC (REP3-060) and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns regarding the survey data collected as part of the Arboriculture Impact Assessment and the mitigations proposed.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31). The Applicant has provided a Tree Survey Clarification Note at Deadline 3 (REP3-049). The Applicant believes this will resolve DCC's remaining comments and will update the SoCG (REP3-060) to reflect this.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
Welsh Government	In their written representation (REP1-050), raises concerns regarding the Arboriculture Impact Assessment and the mitigations proposed.	Amber: The Applicant has responded to written representations (REP2-079, paragraphs REP1-052.15 to REP1-052.17). The Applicant is committed to engaging with Welsh Government throughout the examination.
Commission for Wales Mr & Mrs J T Owen Michael and Sally Leach Martyn Hussey Margaret Hussey The Executors of the Late Sir David Watkin Williams-Wynn. Bt. Ann Conway Cefn Meiriadog Community Council Lois Williams	Concerns regarding the baseline characterisation, assessment methodology, assessment conclusions and adequacy of mitigation have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations and written representations.	Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.
14 – Marine physical processes and coastal change		
NRW	Placement of cable protection in the shallow nearshore environment.	Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.51 and RR-011.53 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.13 and REP1-056.182 in REP2-080). It is not the Applicant's intention to place cable protection in shallow water and will seek to avoid this if at all possible. The Applicant is committed to ensuring that no more than a 5% reduction in water depth (referenced to Chart Datum) will occur at any point along the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor without prior written approval from NRW-MLT in consultation with the Maritime and Coastguard Agency (MCA)). This will ensure that any cable protection is sufficiently low profile to cause minimal changes to wave, tide and sediment transport. This was assessed in Volume 2, Chapter 1: Physical Processes (APP-053) as not significant. NRW confirmed in their Deadline 3 submission (REP3-090) that 'we are satisfied that there should be no significant impacts to the physical processes in the shallow

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>Cable protection on Constable Bank</p> <p>Landfall beach profile changes and erosion</p>	<p>nearshore environment.’ Therefore, the Applicant considers this issue to be resolved.</p> <p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW relevant representation (RR-011.50 in PDA-008) and NRW confirmed that this issue was closed in REP1-056.179 of their written representation (REP1-056) therefore the Applicant considers this issue to be resolved.</p> <p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW relevant representation (RR-011.52 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.183 in REP2-080). The Applicant has responded to NRW Deadline 3 submission (REP3-090) at Deadline 4 (REP3-090.242 in S_D4_16).</p> <p>The Applicant has made a commitment to trenchless techniques in the intertidal area. Further detailed onshore and offshore geotechnical investigations will be conducted at the landfall to assess the suitability of the ground in relation to the trenchless technique that is to be adopted. This will include consideration of the natural envelope of beach profile change over time to inform the final detailed design of the duct profile to avoid the risk of cable exposure. This information has been included in the updated Landfall Construction Method Statement (J26.14 F03) submitted at Deadline 4.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes the issue is capable of resolution.</p>
15 – Navigation and shipping		
<p>Territorial Sea Committee (Department of Infrastructure)</p>	<p>The Territorial Sea Committee’s raised concerns relating to project alone and cumulative effects leading to potential disruption or economic loss to strategic passenger and freight routes and lifeline ferry services serving the Isle of Man in their relevant representation (RR-018).</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008 paragraph RR-018.4) and a SoCG with the Territorial Sea Committee was submitted at Deadline 3 (REP3-025).</p> <p>Most discussion points on shipping and navigation have been agreed. There is ongoing engagement with Territorial Seas Committee and the Isle of Man Steam Packet Company regarding potential significant effects on lifeline ferry services and potential allision and collision risk hazards between the Morgan</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>Array Area and Mooir Vannin Scoping Boundary. An updated SoCG is expected to be submitted at Deadline 5.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with the Territorial Sea Committee (REP3-025) and believes the issue is capable of resolution.</p>
<p>Stena Line Ltd CLdN RoRo Ltd Maritime and Coastguard Agency UK Chamber of Shipping</p>	<p>Potential project alone and cumulative effects on navigational impacts to shipping routes, including adverse weather routeing, as highlighted in relevant representations (RR-076, RR-008, and RR-039).</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008 paragraph RR-076.1, RR-008.1, RR-039.1 and RR-039.2).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with Maritime and Coastguard Agency (REP3-026). All items are agreed with the exception of MCA.SAN.33 which will remain under review until the last Deadline whilst the MCA review any changes to the draft DCO.</p> <p>The Applicant has exchanged correspondence and met with Stena Line on multiple times since submission (meetings: 1 March 2024, 4 July 2024 and 18 October 2024): Engagement on these residual effects is ongoing and a further meeting is planned for 8 November 2024.</p> <p>The Applicant has reached out to CLdN RoRo Ltd since submission to notify them of the Examination progress and seek to discuss any outstanding residual concerns but has not received a response since 01 March 2024. The Applicant also notified CLdN RoRo Ltd of ExQ1.15.2 on 16 September 2024 but has not received a response as of 30 September 2024</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with the UK Chamber of Shipping (REP3-028). All items related to shipping and navigation are agreed with the exception of CoS.SAN.21b as relates to the possible need for additional towage in the Irish Sea. Further engagement with the UK Chamber of Shipping will continue through the Examination.</p> <p>The Applicant believes the issue is capable of resolution.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
<p>Maritime and Coastguard Agency Corporation of Trinity House of Deptford Strond UK Chamber of Shipping</p>	<p>Potential impacts on navigational safety (RR-010, RR-039 and REP1-068).</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-010.1 to 2 and RR-039.1 to 2) and written representations (REP2-078, paragraphs REP1-068.1 to REP1-068.25).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with Maritime and Coastguard Agency (REP3-026). All items are agreed with the exception of MCA.SAN.33 which will remain under review until the last Deadline whilst the MCA review any changes to the draft DCO.</p> <p>The Maritime and Coastguard Agency has agreed in their written representation (REP1-068), SoCG (REP3-026) and responses to ExQ1 (REP3-087) that unacceptable risks identified in their s42 response have been reduced to “Medium Risk – Tolerable if ALARP”.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with the Corporation of Trinity House (REP3-017). All items are agreed with the exception of TH.SAN.30 as the Corporation of Trinity House wish to remain engaged with any further revisions of the draft DCO.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with the UK Chamber of Shipping (REP3-028). All items related to shipping and navigation are agreed with the exception of CoS.SAN.21b as relates to the possible need for additional towage in the Irish Sea. Further engagement with the UK Chamber of Shipping will continue through the Examination.</p> <p>Therefore, the Applicant considers this issue to be resolved.</p>
<p>Maritime and Coastguard Agency CLdN RoRo Ltd</p>	<p>The Maritime and Coastguard Agency seek assurance that the project is not at the detriment to the provision of search and rescue and other emergency response in their relevant representation (RR-039) and provided detailed comment in their written representation (REP1-068). CLdN RoRo Ltd noted potential increased response time to a marine casualty in their relevant representation (RR-008).</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008 paragraphs RR-008.1 and RR-039.1 to 2) and written representations (REP2-078 REP1-068.6 to 10). The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with Maritime and Coastguard Agency (REP3-026). All items are agreed with the exception of MCA.SAN.33 which will remain under review until the last Deadline whilst the MCA review any changes to the draft DCO.</p> <p>The MCA has agreed that Mona Offshore Wind Project assessment of search and rescue is consistent with MGN654</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>subject to the agreement of post-consent plans with the MCA as secured within Schedule 14 of the draft DCO.</p> <p>In the MCA's response to ExQ1 (REP3-087) they noted a concern regarding the impact of micrositing principles of 125 m on search and rescue. The Applicant is undertaking further refinement of the design of the Mona Offshore Wind Project in order to address these concerns.</p> <p>The Applicant has reached out to CLdN RoRo Ltd since submission to notify them of the Examination progress and seek to discuss any outstanding residual concerns but has not received a response since 01 March 2024. The Applicant also notified CLdN RoRo Ltd of ExQ1.15.2 on 16 September 2024 but has not received a response as of 30 September 2024</p> <p>The Applicant believes the issue is capable of resolution.</p>
Eni UK	The need for engagement to ensure co-existence between Eni UK and Mona Offshore Wind Project activities, due to overlap in vessel traffic, surveys and diving activities was highlighted in Eni UK's relevant representation (RR-019).	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-019.3 and RR-019.5) and is progressing a SoCG with Eni UK (REP3-032) which addresses shipping and navigation matters. Eni UK are in the process of reviewing Volume 2, Chapter 7: Shipping and navigation (APP-059) and Volume 6, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-098) and the Applicant anticipates that Eni UK will provide feedback during further planned SoCG meetings.</p> <p>The parties will provide updates through subsequent SoCG submissions. The Applicant believes the issue is capable of resolution.</p>
<p>Walney Extension Limited</p> <p>Walney (UK) Offshore Windfarms Limited</p> <p>Ørsted Burbo (UK) Limited (Ørsted Burbo (UK) Limited)</p> <p>Morecambe Wind Limited</p> <p>Moor Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Limited</p>	The need for engagement to ensure co-existence with other operational and planned offshore wind farms in relation to shipping and navigation matters was highlighted in relevant representations (RR-004, RR-007, RR-018, RR-045, RR-047, RR-087, RR-088, RR-090). Further comments regarding co-existence were provided in the Orsted IPs written representation (REP1-072).	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-004.5, RR-007.5, RR-018.4, RR-045.7, RR-047.5, RR-087.5, RR-088.5, RR-090.6) and written representations (REP2-078, paragraphs REP1-072.8 and REP1-072.9). The Applicant has responded to Ørsted IPs Deadline 2 Submission at Deadline 3 (REP3-042).</p> <p>Other offshore wind farm operators and developers have been consulted pre-application stage through the marine navigation engagement forum and hazard workshops and the Applicant will continue to engage with offshore wind farm operators and</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
<p>Territorial Sea Committee Burbo Extension Ltd Barrow Offshore Wind Limited Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Limited Orsted IPs</p>		<p>developers throughout the Examination. The Applicant has submitted an updated Outline Vessel Traffic Management Plan at Deadline 3 (REP3-018) and Mitigation and Monitoring Schedule (REP3-012) that commits to continued engagement with the Marine Navigation Engagement Forum post consent. The Applicant believes the issue is capable of resolution.</p>
16 – Noise and vibration		
<p>CCBC</p>	<p>CCBC raised the need for mitigation measures for noise through their relevant representation (RR-009). The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) states that the mitigation measures described in the application are appropriate, however, early engagement with local communities is requested. The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests further detail on operational mitigation measures.</p> <p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns around the assessment of construction vibration.</p> <p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns around the lack of assessment of impacts on soundscapes in line with the Environment (Air Quality and Soundscapes) (Wales) Act 2024.</p> <p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) notes that the cumulative effects assessment has not considered noise and vibrations from construction traffic.</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-099.7) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.86 to REP1-049.99). CCBC has agreed through the SoCG (REP3-061, CCBC.NV.18) that the mitigation measures incorporated as part of the project are appropriate.</p> <p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-099.7) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraph REP1-049.88). The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061) and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process.</p> <p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-099.7) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraph REP1-049.88). The Examining Authority has requested that CCBC confirm if they consider that a soundscape assessment is required from the Applicant or is the information already provided by the Applicant sufficient (EV5-006). The Applicant awaits CCBC's response.</p> <p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-099.7) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraph REP1-049.97).</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
DCC		CCBC has agreed through the SoCG (REP3-061, CCBC.NV.17) that the assessment of effects from the project cumulatively with other projects is appropriate.
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) states that the mitigation measures described in the application are appropriate, however, early engagement with local communities is requested.</p> <p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests further detail on operational mitigation measures.</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-099.7) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraph REP1-049.88).</p> <p>DCC has agreed through the SoCG (REP3-060, DCC.NV.18) that the mitigation measures incorporated as part of the project are appropriate.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns around the assessment of construction vibration.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.86 to REP1-049.99).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a Statement of Common Ground with DCC (REP3-060) and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns around the lack of assessment of impacts on soundscapes in line with the Environment (Air Quality and Soundscapes) (Wales) Act 2024.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-099.7) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraph REP1-049.88).</p> <p>The Examining Authority has requested that DCC confirm if they consider that a soundscape assessment is required from the Applicant or is the information already provided by the Applicant sufficient (EV5-006). The Applicant awaits DCC's response.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) notes that the cumulative effects assessment has not considered noise and vibrations from construction traffic.</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-099.7) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraph REP1-049.97).</p> <p>DCC has agreed through the SoCG (REP3-060, DCC.NV.16) that the assessment of effects from the project cumulatively with other projects is appropriate.</p>
Welsh Government	<p>In their written representation (REP1-050), Welsh Government raise concerns regarding the cumulative impact of noise on the</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to written representations (REP2-079, paragraph REP1-052.25).</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	local community at the onshore substation and how this will be mitigated.	The Applicant is committed to engaging with Welsh Government throughout the examination.
Mr & Mrs J T Owen Michael and Sally Leach Martyn Hussey Margaret Hussey	Concerns regarding impacts of noise on local residents and businesses, baseline data collection and assessment methodologies have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations and written representations.	Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.
17 – Offshore biodiversity, ecology and natural environment		
NRW	Impact on cod spawning grounds	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.41 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.165 to REP1-056.168 in REP2-080/REP2-080). The Applicant has responded to NRW's Deadline 3 submission (REP3-090) at Deadline 4 (S_D4_16).</p> <p>NRW's concern is over the percentage of the cod spawning area that may be impacted by underwater sound from the Mona Offshore Wind Project alone. However, there are multiple factors taken into account when assessing the significance of the overall impact on cod. The degree of overlap with mapped spawning grounds is not used to underpin the assessment but is considered to support expert judgement alongside other parameters.</p> <p>The Applicant is carefully considering NRW (A)'s Deadline 3 submissions with respect of project alone impacts on cod and would like to engage with NRW (A) further before providing a more detailed response. A technical meeting with NRW(A) is scheduled for 8 November 2024 and the Applicant anticipates this matter being discussed then. An update on these discussions will be submitted for examination at Deadline 5.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes the issue is capable of resolution.</p>
	Appropriateness of the Underwater Sound Management Strategy to secure the reduction of the magnitude of impacts to an acceptable level.	Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.31 for marine mammals and RR-011.43 for fish in PDA-008) and written representation

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>(REP1-056.140 to REP1-056.143 and REP1-056.170 to REP1-056.173 in REP2-080).</p> <p>The Applicant welcomes confirmation from NRW regarding the appropriateness of the UWSMS to secure the reduction of the magnitude of impacts to an acceptable level. The Applicant acknowledges that NRW have advised that they would like to see further detail in the strategy and welcomes specific recommendations from NRW. The Applicant acknowledges NRW's request for continued engagement with them in developing the strategy and confirms they will continue to engage regarding the UWSMS.</p>
	Fish ecology monitoring	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.45 and RR-011.46 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.175 in REP2-080REP2-080).</p> <p>NRW have confirmed in their written representation that they understand and acknowledge the Applications position on monitoring and have no further comments on future monitoring therefore the Applicant considers this matter to be resolved.</p>
	Placement of cable protection in the shallow nearshore environment.	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.58 and RR-011.59 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.17 and REP1-056.190 in REP2-080REP2-080). The Applicant has responded to NRW's Deadline 3 submission (REP3-090) at Deadline 4 (S_D4_16).</p> <p>It is not the Applicant's intention to place cable protection in shallow water and will seek to avoid this if at all possible. The Applicant is committed to ensuring that no more than a 5% reduction in water depth (referenced to Chart Datum) will occur at any point along the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor without prior written approval from NRW-MLT in consultation with the Maritime and Coastguard Agency (MCA)). This will ensure that any cable protection is sufficiently low profile to cause minimal changes to wave, tide and sediment transport. The impacts on benthic ecology from cable protection are considered in section</p>

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>2.9 of Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology (APP-054) and were concluded to be not significant. NRW confirmed in their Deadline 3 submission (REP3-090) that we are satisfied that there should be no significant impacts to benthic and intertidal ecology in the shallow nearshore environment. Therefore, the Applicant considers this matter to be resolved.</p>
	<p>Sandwave recovery monitoring on Constable Bank</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW relevant representation (RR-011.54 and RR-011.60 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.19 and REP1-056.190 in REP2-080REP2-080). The Applicant has responded to NRW Deadline 3 submission (REP3-090) at Deadline 4 (S_D4_16).</p> <p>The Applicant maintains that no significant effects were predicted with the EIA, and therefore, no further monitoring is considered to be required to test the predictions of the EIA. The Applicant has already included a commitment to pre- and post-construction geomorphological surveys in Condition 24(4) and 26(3) of Schedule 14 of the draft DCO (REP2-006), and this is also expected to be secured within the standalone NRW Marine Licence. This data would be collected for the purpose of observing the effect of sediment transport and sediment transport pathways on cable burial. The Applicant confirms that the hydrographic and side scan sonar surveys already committed to and the relevant data gathered will be considered in the context of sandwave recovery, particularly in relation to the Constable Bank, for information purposes.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes the issue is capable of resolution.</p>
	<p>Waterbodies screened into the Water Framework Directive Coastal Waters Assessment</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW relevant representation (RR-011.67 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.25 in REP2-080REP2-080).</p> <p>The Applicant has confirmed that water body screening was undertaken in line with guidance, numerical modelling and in</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>accordance with the Mona EIA scoping opinion (APP-194). NRW's written representation confirmed that this matter is resolved (REP1-056.25). Therefore, the Applicant considers this matter to be resolved.</p>
	<p>Zone of Influence used for the Water Framework Directive Coastal Waters Assessment</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.69 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.203 in REP2-080).</p> <p>The Applicant notes NRW's agreement that the conclusions of the assessment would not be altered by the application of a ZOI that aligns with the ZOI assessed for consideration under the Habitats Regulations (REP1-056.203 in REP2-080). For completeness, the Applicant submitted the WFD Coastal Waters Assessment Supporting Information technical note (REP3-046) at Deadline 3 that provides further information.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes that the WFD Coastal Waters Assessment Supporting Information technical note (REP3-046) at Deadline 3 will allow this matter to be resolved.</p>
	<p>Assessment of biological quality elements of the Water Framework Directive Coastal Waters Assessment</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.75 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.206 in REP2-080).</p> <p>The Applicant maintains that a full assessment of the Mona Offshore Wind Project for compliance with the WFD with respect to relevant high sensitivity WFD habitats and low sensitivity WFD habitats has been undertaken.</p> <p>The Applicant has responded to NRW's comments 151-155 of document REP3-090 at Deadline 4 and believes that this will allow this matter to be resolved.</p>
	<p>Assessment of injury and disturbance to marine mammals from elevated underwater sound due to vessel use and other (non-piling) sound producing activities</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.27 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.118 to REP1-056.123 in REP2-080).</p> <p>NRW has confirmed that most of the impacts would be mitigated through the Offshore Environmental Management Plan (EMP)</p>

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>which includes measures to minimise disturbance to marine mammals and rafting birds (APP-203) and that the overall conclusion (of low magnitude) is acceptable (see REP1-056.118 in REP2-080). NRW also confirmed this agreement in their Deadline 3 Submission – Cover Letter (REP3-090) (paragraph 66). Therefore, the Applicant considers this matter to be resolved.</p>
	<p>Assessment of injury to marine mammals from elevated underwater sound due to piling</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.28 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.124 to REP1-056.132 in REP2-080).</p> <p>NRW has confirmed through its written representation that the overall conclusions of the assessment are valid and that no further assessment is required. NRW also confirmed in their Deadline 3 Submission - Cover Letter (REP3-090) (paragraph 70) that they consider the matter has been resolved. Therefore, the Applicant considers this matter to be resolved.</p>
	<p>Barrier effects for marine mammals</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.29 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.133 in REP2-080).</p> <p>NRW has confirmed through its written representation that that Applicant's response to the relevant representation was sufficient to address NRW's concerns. NRW also confirmed in their Deadline 3 Submission - Cover Letter (REP3-090) (paragraph 71) that they consider the matter is closed. Therefore, the Applicant considers this matter to be resolved.</p>
	<p>Inter related effects for marine mammals</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.30 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.136 to REP1-056.139 in REP2-080).</p> <p>NRW has confirmed through its written representation that that Applicant's response to the relevant representation was sufficient to allow NRW to anticipate being able to agree with the overall conclusion in Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine mammals (APP-056). NRW also confirmed in their Deadline 3 Submission - Cover</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>Letter (REP3-090) (paragraph 72) that they consider the issue closed. Therefore, the Applicant considers this matter to be resolved.</p>
	<p>Inconsistencies and potential errors in the offshore ornithology assessments</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.3 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.42-52 in REP2-080).</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted revisions offshore ornithology EIA and HRA documents at Deadline 2 to address NRW's concerns and in response to the Examining Authority's Rule 17 letter of 15 August 2024. The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (REP3-059) at Deadline 3 which presents the information requested by NRW regarding the EIA and HRA documents. The Applicant met with NRW on 09 September and received subsequent feedback on 18 September on the scope and content of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (REP3-059). Further meetings took place on 9, 18 and 29 October. A further update to Volume 2, Chapter 5: Offshore Ornithology (REP2-016F2.5 F02) and Volume 6, Annex 5.2: Offshore Ornithology Displacement Technical Report (F6.5.2 F03) is being submitted at Deadline 4 to address remaining errata. In addition, the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (D_D4_19 F02) is also being updated at Deadline 4.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes that its ornithological submissions at Deadline 4 will allow this matter to be resolved.</p>
	<p>Assessment of the Pen y Gogarth / Great Orme's Head SSSI</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.7 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.53-55 in REP2-080). The Applicant has responded to NRW's Deadline 3 submission (REP3-090) at Deadline 4 (S_D4_16).</p> <p>The Applicant submitted a clarification note at Deadline 1 providing a full assessment of the features of the Pen y Gogarth / Great Orme's Head SSSI (REP1-037). The Applicant has re-</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>submitted the Offshore Ornithology Assessment of Pen y Gogarth / Great Orme's Head SSSI (S_D1_25 F02) at Deadline 4 to address NRW's comments at Deadline 2 and 3. The Applicant met with NRW on 18 October to discuss the content of the Offshore Ornithology Assessment of Pen y Gogarth / Great Orme's Head SSSI (S_D1_25 F02).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes the Offshore Ornithology Assessment of Pen y Gogarth / Great Orme's Head SSSI (S_D1_25 F02) submitted at Deadline 4 will allow this matter to be resolved.</p>
	Offshore ornithology cumulative assessment	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.8 and RR-011.9 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.59-69 in REP2-080).</p> <p>The Applicant has undertaken a 'gap-filling' exercise in accordance with SNCBs advice. The Applicant held a meeting with the statutory nature conservation bodies on its approach and results to gap-filling historical projects for the Mona Offshore Wind Project on 29 August 2024 and received positive feedback. The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Cumulative Effects Assessment and In-combination Gap-filling Historical Projects Technical Note (REP3-044) at Deadline 3. The JNCC provided written feedback on 24 October 2024. Considering this and verbal feedback during a meeting on 29 October between the Applicant, the JNCC and NRW, the Applicant has submitted a revised Offshore Ornithology Cumulative Effects Assessment and In-combination Gap-filling Historical Projects Technical Note (S_D3_12 F02) at Deadline 4.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes that the Offshore Ornithology Cumulative Effects Assessment and In-combination Gap-filling Historical Projects Technical Note (S_D3_12 F02) at Deadline 4 will allow this issue to be closed.</p>
	Offshore ornithology EIA methodology	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.10, RR-011.15-17 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.66 in REP2-</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>080). The Applicant has responded to NRW's Deadline 3 submission (REP3-090) at Deadline 4 (S_D4_16).</p> <p>The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (REP3-059) at Deadline 3 which presents the information requested by NRW regarding the EIA and HRA documents. The Applicant met with NRW on 09 September and received subsequent feedback on 18 September on the scope and content of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (REP3-059). The JNCC provided written feedback on 24 October 2024. Considering this and verbal feedback during a meeting on 29 October between the Applicant, the JNCC and NRW, the Applicant has submitted a revised Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (S_D3_19 F02) at Deadline 4.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes that the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (S_D3_19 F02) at Deadline 4 will allow this matter to be resolved.</p>
JNCC	Consideration of the sensitivity for the seapens and burrowing megafauna communities	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCC's relevant representation (RR-033.71-72 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.140 in REP2-081).</p> <p>The Applicant has met with the JNCC on 04 September and 14 October to provide further justification for the classification of the sensitivity for the seapens and burrowing megafauna communities as 'medium' rather than 'high'. The Applicant has, however, provided further information on the implications for the assessment if the sensitivity of this habitat is changed to high as requested by JNCC in The Applicants response to the JNCC D3 Submission (S_D4_17) at Deadline 4.</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted an SoCG with JNCC (REP1-028) which is continuing to be progressed and believes the issue is capable of resolution.</p>
	Decommissioning of offshore infrastructure	<p>Beige: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in the JNCC's relevant representation (RR-033.73, RR-033.78-80 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.141-144 and</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>REP1-066.149 to151 in REP2-081). The Applicant has responded to the JNCC's Deadline 2 submission in REP2-097.68 in the Applicant's Response to the JNCC Deadline 2 Submission (REP3-036).</p> <p>The Applicant has met with the JNCC on 04 September and 14 October to discuss the assessment of decommissioning of offshore infrastructure. The Applicant highlights that the points raised by JNCC are an industry-wide issue rather than a project specific consideration. NRW stated in their relevant representation (RR-011) that projects should produce a decommissioning plan that retains all decommissioning options (maintain, full removal and partial removal); the options for which can be assessed and refined closer to the time of decommissioning itself.</p> <p>The Applicant is unable to align their position with the JNCC however the Applicant does not consider this to be material.</p>
	<p>Consideration of effects within offshore and onshore waters</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in the JNCC's relevant representation (RR-033.73, RR-033.3 and RR-033.70 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.141, REP1-066.145, REP1-066.167 and REP1-067.4 in REP2-081). The Applicant has responded to the JNCC's Deadline 2 submission in REP2-097.65 in the Applicant's Response to the JNCC Deadline 2 Submission (REP3-036) in which the Applicant has provided some indicative numbers for the temporary habitat disturbance associated with sandwave clearance within inshore and offshore waters of the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor.</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted an SoCG with JNCC (REP1-028) which is continuing to be progressed and believes the issue is capable of resolution.</p>
	<p>Calculation of the maximum design scenario for maximum seabed area impacted</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in the JNCC's relevant representation (RR-033.76 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.146-147 in REP2-081). The Applicant has responded to the JNCC's Deadline 2 submission in REP2-097.66 and REP2-097.72 in the Applicant's Response to the JNCC Deadline 2 Submission (REP3-036) in which further</p>

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>clarity, and a worked example, were presented by the Applicant on how the maximum design scenario for seabed take has been determined.</p> <p>The Applicant has met with the JNCC on 04 September and 14 October to clarify how the maximum seabed area has been calculated. The Applicant has submitted an SoCG with JNCC (REP1-028) which is continuing to be progressed and believes the issue is capable of resolution.</p>
	<p>Appropriateness of the Underwater Sound Management Strategy to secure the reduction of the magnitude of impacts to an acceptable level.</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCC's relevant representation (RR-033.53-58 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.140 to REP1-056.142 in REP2-081).</p> <p>The Applicant welcomes confirmation from JNCC regarding the appropriateness of the UWSMS to secure the reduction of the magnitude of impacts to an acceptable level and that it can be finalised post-consent. The Applicant acknowledges that JNCC have advised that that they would like to see further detail in the strategy and welcomes specific recommendations from JNCC.</p>
	<p>Use of scare charges as part of the measures outlined in the Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCC's relevant representation (RR-033.57 and RR-033.65 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.95in REP2-081).</p> <p>The Applicant requested guidance for alternatives during the seventh marine mammal expert working group meeting, and JNCC advised that they provide advice for projects on a case-by-case basis. Therefore, the Applicant will seek project-specific recommendations in developing the final MMMP and UWSMS in consultation with relevant stakeholders, including JNCC.</p> <p>The JNCC has not provided further steer on scare charges (JNCC's response in JNCC's Deadline 2 Submission - Response to Relevant Representation Comments (REP2-097, see RR-033.57)) in their Deadline 2 or Deadline 3 submissions therefore the Applicant considers this matter to be closed.</p>

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	Assessment of injury and disturbance to marine mammals from elevated underwater sound due to vessel use and other (non-piling and non-UXO) sound producing activities	Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCCs written representation (REP1-066.127 in REP2-081). The JNCC have provided no further comments in their Deadline 2 or Deadline 3 submissions therefore the Applicant considers this matter to be closed.
	Consideration of noise abatement systems in the marine mammal EIA	Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCC's written representation (REP1-066.105 and REP1-066.114 to REP1-066.119 in REP2-081) and in JNCC's relevant representation (REP2-097.38 in REP3-036). The Applicant has clarified its intention regarding the consideration of noise abatement systems and is aware of ongoing industry discussion surrounding commitment to noise abatement technologies. However, at this point guidance is not in the public domain. The JNCC have provided no further comments in their Deadline 2 or Deadline 3 submissions therefore the Applicant considers this matter to be closed.
	Collision risk to marine mammals from construction and operational vessels	Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCC's written representation (REP1-066.128-132 in REP2-081). The Applicant welcomes JNCC's response and confirmation of no major concerns with collision risk (see REP1-066.128 in the Applicants Appendix to Response to WRs: JNCC (REP2-081)). Therefore, the Applicant considers this matter to be resolved.
	Inconsistencies and potential errors in the offshore ornithology assessments	Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCCs relevant representation (RR-033.9-10 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.2-16 in REP2-081). The Applicant has submitted revisions offshore ornithology EIA and HRA documents at Deadline 2 to address NRW's concerns and in response to the Examining Authority's Rule 17 letter on 15 August 2024. The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (REP3-059) at

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>Deadline 3 which presents the information requested by JNCC regarding the EIA and HRA documents. The Applicant met with JNCC on 04 September and received subsequent feedback on 14 September on the scope and content of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (REP3-059). The Applicant submitted the Offshore ornithology errata clarification note at Deadline 3 (REP2-074) to address remaining inconsistencies and potential errors in the offshore ornithology assessments. The Applicant has submitted revised versions of Volume 2, Chapter 5: Offshore ornithology (F2.5 F03) and Volume 6, Annex 5.2: Offshore Ornithology Displacement Technical Report (F6.5.2 F03) at Deadline 4 to address all errata in the Offshore ornithology errata clarification note at Deadline 3 (REP2-074).</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted an SoCG with JNCC (REP1-028) which is continuing to be progressed and believes that the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (REP3-059) and Offshore ornithology errata clarification note (REP2-074) at Deadline 3 will allow this issue to be closed.</p>
	<p>Offshore ornithology cumulative assessment</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCCs relevant representation (RR-033.18 and 19 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.26 in REP2-081).</p> <p>The Applicant has undertaken a 'gap-filling' exercise in accordance with SNCBs advice. The Applicant held a meeting with the statutory nature conservation bodies on its approach and results to gap-filling historical projects for the Mona Offshore Wind Project on 29 August 2024 and received positive feedback. The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Cumulative Effects Assessment and In-combination Gap-filling Historical Projects Technical Note (REP3-044) at Deadline 3. The Applicant notes that 'JNCC are satisfied with the approach taken for that gap-filling exercise, and the projects included in the EIA cumulative assessment' (REP1-028).</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted an SoCG with JNCC (REP1-028) which is continuing to be progressed and believes that the Review of Offshore ornithology CEA and In-Combination</p>

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	Offshore ornithology EIA methodology	<p>Assessment (S_D4_9) at Deadline 4 will allow this issue to be closed.</p> <p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCCs relevant representation (RR-033.11-17 and RR-033.22-29-69 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.27-36 and REP1-066.46 in REP2-081).</p> <p>The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (REP3-059) at Deadline 3 which presents the information requested by JNCCC regarding the EIA methodology. The Applicant met with JNCC on 04 September and received subsequent feedback on 14 September on the scope and content of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (REP3-059). The JNCC provided feedback on 24 October 2024 and the Applicant has submitted a revised Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (S_D3_19 F02) at Deadline 4. The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with JNCC (S_D1_15 F02) and believes that the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (S_D3_19 F02) at Deadline 4 will allow this issue to be closed.</p>
Territorial Sea Committee (Department of Infrastructure)	Baseline data considered for scallop	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in Territorial Sea Committee's relevant representation (RR-018.10 in PDA-008).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with the Territorial Sea Committee (REP3-025) and all fish and shellfish ecology items have been agreed therefore the Applicant believes this issue is now resolved..</p>
	Consideration of Moir Vannin on the benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology assessment	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in Territorial Sea Committee's relevant representation (RR-018.1 in PDA-008).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with the Territorial Sea Committee (REP3-025) and all benthic ecology items have been agreed therefore the Applicant believes this issue is now resolved..</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	Consideration of the Isle of Man greater black-backed gull population	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in Territorial Sea Committee’s relevant representation (RR-018.2 in PDA-008).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with the Territorial Sea Committee (REP3-025) and all offshore ornithology items have been agreed therefore the Applicant believes this issue is now resolved..</p>
RSPB Cymru	Offshore ornithology EIA methodology	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in RSPB Cymru’s relevant representation (RR-071.3 to 12 in PDA-008). The Applicant has engaged with the RSPB Cymru and responded to RSPBs Deadline 3 submission (REP3-105) at Deadline 4 (S_D4_51).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with the RSPB Cymru (REP2-088) and believes the issue is capable of resolution.</p>
Sea Watch Foundation Walney Extension Limited Walney (UK) Offshore Windfarms Limited Ørsted Burbo UK Morecambe Wind Limited James Wilson Burbo Extension Ltd Barrow Offshore Wind Limited Morecambe Offshore Wind Limited Moor Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Limited Scottish Fishermen’s Federation Scottish Whitefish Producers Association West Coast Sea Products	Fish Intertidal and subtidal seabed habitats and species Marine mammals Birds Wider ecosystem impacts and interactions and relevant protected migratory species.	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
Ann Conway The Wildlife Trusts Wales		
18 – Onshore biodiversity, ecology and natural environment		
CCBC	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) highlights a concern around the long-term monitoring and management of ecological mitigation and biodiversity enhancement measures. The Local Impact Report also request more detail be provided on mitigation measures and expected outcomes to assist with any monitoring and management.</p> <p>CCBC raised a query regarding impacts to Traeth Pensarn SSSI in their relevant representation (RR-009). The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests further information to understand the certainty of the trenchless approach to protect protected sites.</p> <p>CCBC raised the need for an assessment of potential impacts of heat radiation on animal health in their relevant representation (RR-009). The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) reiterates the need for an assessment of potential for heat radiation from the underground cables to affect animal health.</p> <p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raised concerns with the onshore and intertidal ornithology assessment and Outline Bird Protection Plan including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Breeding bird survey methodology • Wintering and migratory bird survey methodology 	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.32 to REP1-049.62) and updates have been made to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (J22 F02).</p> <p>The Applicant will be providing an update to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan at Deadline 5 to clarify the position on long-term monitoring and management of ecological mitigation and biodiversity enhancement measures.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061) believes this issue is capable of resolution through this process.</p> <p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-091.12) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.32 to REP1-049.62).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061) and believes this issue is capable of resolution through this process.</p> <p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-091.12) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.32 to REP1-049.62).</p> <p>CCBC have confirmed, in their response to the Examining Authority's written question Q.1.1.2 (REP3-078), that it considers its concerns relating to potential impacts of heat radiation to be resolved.</p> <p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.32 to REP1-049.62).</p> <p>The Applicant will be providing an update to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan at Deadline 5 to address CCBC's concerns.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The use of netting of vegetation outside of the breeding bird season 	<p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061) and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process.</p>
DCC	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) highlights a concern around the long-term monitoring and management of ecological mitigation and biodiversity enhancement measures. The Local Impact Report also request more detail be provided on mitigation measures and expected outcomes to assist with any monitoring and management.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.32 to REP1-049.62) and updates have been made to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (J22 F02).</p> <p>The Applicant will be providing an update to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan at Deadline 5 to clarify the position on long-term monitoring and management of ecological mitigation and biodiversity enhancement measures. The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with DCC (REP3-060) and believes this issue is capable of resolution through this process.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests further information to understand the certainty of the trenchless approach to protect protected sites.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.32 to REP1-049.62). The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with DCC and believes this issue is capable of resolution through this process.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises the need for an assessment of potential for heat radiation from the underground cables to affect animal health.</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to CCBCs relevant representations on this point (PDA-008, paragraph RR-091.12) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.32 to REP1-049.62).</p> <p>DCC have confirmed, in their response to the Examining Authority's written question Q.1.1.2 (REP3-078), that it considers its concerns relating to potential impacts of heat radiation to be resolved..</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raised concerns with the onshore and intertidal ornithology assessment and Outline Bird Protection Plan including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Breeding bird survey methodology Wintering and migratory bird survey methodology The use of netting of vegetation outside of the breeding bird season 	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.32 to REP1-049.62).</p> <p>The Applicant will be providing an update to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan at Deadline 5 to address DCC's concerns.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with DCC (REP3-060) and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
NRW	NRW raised a query regarding the assessment of potential impacts to barn owls through their relevant representation (RR-011).	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-011.119).</p> <p>NRW have confirmed through their written representation (REP1-056) that this response has addressed their concerns, therefore the Applicant believes this issue has now been resolved.</p> <p>This is captured in the SoCG between the Applicant and NRW (REP1-026, paragraph NRW.OE.01 to NRW.OE.12).</p>
	NRW proposed a number of minor amendments to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan through their relevant representation (RR-011). This included adding a commitment to an external Ecological Compliance Audit and providing more detail on long term management of mitigation measures.	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-011.121).</p> <p>Through their written representation (REP1-056) NRW have provided further detail on the updates required to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan.</p> <p>The Applicant has responded to these proposed updates in their response to written representations (REP2-080) and updates have been made to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (J22 F02).</p> <p>The Applicant will be providing an update to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan at Deadline 5 to clarify the position on long-term monitoring and management of ecological mitigation and biodiversity enhancement measures. The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with NRW (REP1-026) and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process.</p>
	NRW proposed a number of minor amendments to the Outline Biosecurity Protocol through their relevant representation (RR-011). This included updating the plan to consider landscape planting, diseases that may affect protected species, and preventive techniques and adding reference to the Invasive Alien Species (Enforcement and Permitting) Order 2019.	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-011.124).</p> <p>Through their written representation (REP1-056) NRW have confirmed the response to the relevant representation has addressed their concerns, therefore the Applicant believes this issue has now been resolved.</p> <p>This is captured in the SoCG between the Applicant and NRW (REP1-026, paragraph NRW.OE.15).</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
<p>Stuart Neil Rebecca Face DMPC Jennings Building & Civil Engineering Limited Mr AEM Owen & A Owen Cyf Mr EW Roberts Mr G & Mrs M Williams Mr H & Mrs C Lloyd Mr RW Roberts The Executors of the Late Sir David Watkin Williams-Wynn. Bt. The Revd Canon Brian Mayne</p>	<p>Concerns regarding impacts to wildlife and habitats and the proposed ecological mitigation have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations and written representations.</p>	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>
<p>19 – Other offshore infrastructure and activities</p>		
<p>Eni UK</p>	<p>Through their relevant representation (RR-019), Eni UK highlighted the potential for interaction between the Mona Offshore Wind project and Eni UK's activities relating to the Liverpool Bay oil and gas fields and their proposed HyNet carbon dioxide storage reservoirs.</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-019.1 to 6). The Applicant and Eni UK have been engaging constructively to ensure co-existence, as demonstrated through the SoCG between the parties (REP3-029). The Applicant believes this issue has been agreed with Eni UK.</p>
<p>McMahon Design and Management Ltd McMahon Design & Management Ltd. on behalf of euNetworks Microsoft Ireland Operations Limited Virgin Media O2</p>	<p>Potential effects on, and the need for co-existence with, operational and proposed subsea telecommunications assets were highlighted in relevant representations and written representations (RR-020, RR-041, RR-085 and REP1-069). Microsoft have provided further project details for their proposed subsea telecommunications cable expected to make landfall at Abergele in response to ExQ1 (REP3-100).</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-020.2, RR-041.1, RR-085.1) and written representations (REP2-078, paragraphs REP1-069.1 to 3) and is engaging with these stakeholders on crossing and proximity agreements where appropriate. The Applicant believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process. See the Commercial Side Agreements Tracker (REP1-036) for further information regarding existing Rockabill and Sirius South telecommunications cables. The Applicant would welcome</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
<p>Barrow Offshore Wind Limited Burbo Extension Ltd Morecambe Wind Limited Walney (UK) Offshore Windfarms Limited Walney Extension Limited Scottish Power Renewables (WODS) LTD Ørsted Burbo (UK) Limited (Ørsted Burbo (UK) Limited)</p>	<p>Relevant representations raised concerns that the Mona Offshore Wind Project may adversely affect the energy yields of the following operational offshore wind farms in the East Irish Sea:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Barrow (RR-004) • Burbo Bank (RR-090) • Burbo Extension (RR-007) • West of Duddon Sands (RR-047 and RR-074) • Walney (RR-087) • Walney Extension (RR-088) <p>This matter has been further addressed in written submissions submitted jointly by the above Ørsted IPs in their written representation at Deadline 1 (REP1-072), response to comments on written representations at Deadline 2 (REP2-104) and responses to ExQ1s (REP3-103 Q1.19.3 and Q1.19.4).</p>	<p>continued engagement with Microsoft on their proposed subsea telecommunications cable expected to make landfall in Abergele.</p> <p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-004.6, RR-007.6, RR-047.6, RR-074.3, RR-087.6, RR-088.6, RR-090.7), the written representation (REP2-078, paragraph REP1-072.10), Deadline 2 submission (REP3-042), has responded to ExQ1s relating to this matter (REP3-062, Q1.19.1, Q1.19.2 and Q1.19.4), and has provided comments on the Ørsted IPs response to ExQ1 (S_D4_47, REP3-103.1 to REP3-103.5). The Applicant will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>
<p>Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Limited Moor Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Limited Barrow Offshore Wind Limited Burbo Extension Ltd Morecambe Wind Limited Walney (UK) Offshore Windfarms Limited Walney Extension Limited Scottish Power Renewables (WODS) Ltd Ørsted Burbo (UK) Limited (Ørsted Burbo (UK) Limited)</p>	<p>The need for co-existence with other offshore wind farms was highlighted in relevant representations by other offshore wind farm operators and developers (RR-003, RR-004, RR-007, RR-045, RR-047, RR-074, RR-087, RR-088, RR-090).</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-003.1, RR-004.3, RR-007.3, RR-045.4, RR-047.3, RR-074.2, RR-087.3, RR-088.3, RR-090.3) and is actively engaging with other offshore wind farms on several specific issues which are covered in this tracker.</p> <p>Applicant considers that ongoing engagement with other offshore wind farms is satisfactory and there are no further issues with regards to co-existence.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Limited	The Mona Offshore Wind Project offshore export cable corridor crosses the Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm agreement for lease area (RR-003 and REP1-061).	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-003.1) and written representations (REP2-078, paragraph REP1-061.1 to REP1-061.7) and is engaging in ongoing discussions and negotiations with Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Limited.</p> <p>The Applicant expects the relevant documentation will be agreed before the close of the Examination.</p>
Menna Jones	Direct and indirect effects on recreational sea users, including sailing (RR-042).	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-042.1) and does not consider there to be further outstanding issues relating to this matter. However, the Applicant will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>
20 – Seascape and visual resources		
Territorial Sea Committee (Department of Infrastructure)	Through their relevant representation (RR-018) requested clarification on the height of turbines used in the seascape visualisations.	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-018.12).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with the Territorial Sea Committee (Department of Infrastructure) (REP1-024) and all matters relating to seascape have now been agreed with the Territorial Sea Committee (Department of Infrastructure) therefore the Applicant considers this matter closed.</p>
NRW	NRW queried the worst-case scenario for the wind turbines used in the seascape assessment through their relevant representation (RR-011). Further details regarding their concerns has been provided by NRW in Appendix B of their written representation (REP1-056).	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-011.88 to RR-011.104) and written representations (REP2-080, paragraphs REP1-056.220 to REP1-056.234 and REP1-056.319 to REP1-056.406).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a specific SoCG with NRW to address the Seascape, Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (REP1-027). A meeting was held with NRW (A) on 8 October 2024 and the Applicant believes that this matter is now agreed.</p>
	NRW have raised methodological and presentational issues with the seascape visualisations through their relevant representations	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-011.88 to RR-011.104) and written representations (REP2-080, paragraphs REP1-056.220 to</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>(RR-011). NRW have reiterated these concerns in their written representation (REP1-056).</p>	<p>REP1-056.234 and REP1-056.319 to REP1-056.406, and REP3_046).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a specific SoCG with NRW to address the Seascope, Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (REP1-027). A meeting was held with NRW on 8 October 2024 and a number of methodological matters were agreed. The Applicant believes that the outstanding issues are capable of resolution through this process.</p>
	<p>NRW have raised concerns regarding the methodology and conclusion of the assessment of impacts to the Isle of Anglesey National Landscape (NL), Eryri National Park, and the Clwydian Range and Dee Valley (CRDV) NL through their relevant representation. Further details regarding their concerns has been provided by NRW in Appendix B of their written representation (REP1-056).</p>	<p>Red: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-011.88 to RR-011.104) and written representations (REP2-080, paragraphs REP1-056.220 to REP1-056.234 and REP1-056.319 to REP1-056.406).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a specific SoCG with NRW to address the Seascope, Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (REP1-027). A meeting was held with NRW (A) on 8 October 2024. It was agreed that there are no significant effects on the CRDV NL, however it was not agreed that there are no significant effects on the Isle of Anglesey NL and Eryri National Park.</p>
	<p>NRW have raised concerns regarding the cumulative effects assessment presented in the Seascope, Landscape and Visual Resources Assessment through their relevant representations (RR-011). NRW have reiterated these concerns in their written representation (REP1-056).</p>	<p>Red: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-011.88 to RR-011.104) and written representations (REP2-080, paragraphs REP1-056.220 to REP1-056.234 and REP1-056.319 to REP1-056.406).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a specific SoCG with NRW to address the Seascope, Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (REP1-027). A meeting was held with NRW (A) on 8 October 2024. It was agreed that there are no significant cumulative effects on the CRDV NL, however it was not agreed that there are no significant cumulative effects on the Isle of Anglesey NL and Eryri National Park.</p>
<p>Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon</p>	<p>Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon raised queries on the methodology used for the Seascope, Landscape and Visual Resources Assessment through their relevant representation (RR-012).</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-012.3).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon (REP1-023) and has agreed all matters relating to SLVIA</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon raised queries on the Seascape, Landscape and Visual Resources Assessment conclusions and the proposed mitigation through the Statement of Common Ground Process (RR-012).</p>	<p>methodology, therefore the Applicant believes this issue is now resolved.</p> <p>Amber: The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon (REP1-023) and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process.</p>
21 – Socioeconomics		
<p>Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon</p>	<p>Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon suggested in their relevant representations (RR-012) that comments would be received on the Outline Skills and Employment Plan.</p> <p>Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon requested further engagement from the Applicant on the selection of port locations in their relevant representations (RR-012).</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant and written representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-012.4 to RR-012.10, S_D4_28, paragraph REP3-080.1 and REP3-080.2).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon (REP1-023) and have agreed a number of points regarding socioeconomics. The Applicant will continue to engage with Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon of the remaining outstanding issues and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process.</p>
<p>Welsh Government</p>	<p>Welsh Government, through their written representation (REP1-050), have requested additional detail and clarification on the Outline Skills and Employment Plan</p> <p>Welsh Government, through their written representation (REP1-050), have requested engagement from the Applicant on use of Welsh Ports and the wider Welsh supply chain.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to written representations (REP2-079, paragraph REP1-052.4, S_D4_29, paragraphs REP3-081.5 to REP3-081.10).</p> <p>The Applicant is committed to engaging with Welsh Government throughout the examination.</p>
<p>Llanddulas and Rhyd Y Foel Community Council Neil Conway Ann Conway Stuart Neil Cefn Meiriadog Community Council</p>	<p>Concerns regarding impacts to the economy, specifically referring to the agricultural and tourism sectors have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations and written representations.</p>	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>

22 – Traffic and transport

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
CCBC	<p>CCBC requested the submission of a Traffic Management Plan for Abnormal and Indivisible Loads in their relevant representation (RR-009).</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-009.2).</p> <p>No further comments have been made by CCBC regarding Abnormal and Indivisible Loads in the Local Impact Report (REP1-049).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061) and believes any residual issues regarding Abnormal and Indivisible Loads will be capable of resolution through this process.</p>
	<p>CCBC highlighted the need for highway authority consent in respect of signage and for works to apparatus in the highway in their relevant representation (RR-009).</p> <p>Within the Local Impact Report (REP1-049) CCBC have requested further discussion regarding the route for approval of any speed limit alterations and Road Safety Audit process.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-009.3) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.63 to REP1-049.71).</p> <p>The Applicant is engaging with the Highways Officers from CCBC and is progressing a SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061) and believes this issue is capable of resolution through this process.</p>
	<p>CCBC highlighted the need for consultation with the owners of the bridges over the A55 and railway in the highway in their relevant representation (RR-009).</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-009.4).</p> <p>The Local Impact Report acknowledges that the North and Mid Wales Trunk Road Agency have been consulted and their feedback has informed the traffic and transport assessment (REP1-049, section 3.5.2), therefore the Applicant considers this matter closed.</p> <p>CCBC have agreed this the SoCG (REP3-061, CCBC.TT.2).</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) highlights a concern around the cumulative effects assessment for traffic and transport impacts.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.63 to REP1-049.71).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061) and believes this issue is capable of resolution through this process.</p>
DCC	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) highlights a concern around the cumulative effects assessment for traffic and transport impacts.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.63 to REP1-049.71).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with DCC (REP3-060) and believes this issue is capable of resolution through this process.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>Within the Local Impact Report (REP1-049) DCC have requested further discussion regarding the route for approval of any speed limit alterations and Road Safety Audit process.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded the Local Impact Report REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.63 to REP1-049.71).</p> <p>The Applicant is engaging with the Highways Officers from DCC and a meeting was held on 9 October 2024 to discuss the requirements for the Road Safety Audit process. The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with DCC (REP3-060) and believes this issue is capable of resolution through this process</p>
<p>Mr & Mrs J T Owen Michael and Sally Leach Stuart Neil Rebecca Face Martyn Hussey Margaret Hussey Gary Johnston on behalf of Suzanne Johnston Gary Johnston Philip Banfield Cefn Meiriadog Community Council</p>	<p>Concerns regarding construction traffic, vehicle movements, road closures, haul road crossing, road safety and access to property have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations and written representations.</p>	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>